

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

WORKERS UNITED,	:	
	:	
<i>Plaintiff,</i>	:	
	:	Civil Action No. 2:23-cv-4036
v.	:	
STARBUCKS CORPORATION,	:	
	:	
<i>Defendant.</i>	:	
	:	

JOINT STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO STAY PROCEEDINGS

Plaintiff Workers United and Defendant Starbucks Corporation (“Starbucks”) (collectively, the “Parties”), through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby jointly request and stipulate to an order staying this action until October 31, 2024, to facilitate the Parties’ good-faith negotiations subsequent to alternative dispute resolution via private mediation. In support thereof, the Parties state as follows:

1. On October 18, 2023, Plaintiff filed a Complaint against Starbucks in this Court (Dkt. No. 1),¹ and Defendant Starbucks filed a complaint in the Southern District of Iowa. The cases involve the same Parties, Workers United and Starbucks, and related claims and facts. *See Starbucks Corp. v. Workers United*, No. 23-00068 (S.D. Iowa) (the “Iowa Action”).
2. On November 20, 2023, the Court granted Starbucks an extension to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Complaint until January 22, 2024. (Dkt. No. 13).

¹ Plaintiff subsequently filed a First Amended Complaint on February 12, 2024. Dkt. No. 27.

3. On December 1, 2023, in the Iowa Action, Judge Locher granted an extension to move or otherwise respond to Starbucks' First Amended Complaint until January 22, 2024.

4. On February 21, 2024, the Parties participated in a full-day mediation session with Mr. David Geronemus, Esq. concerning potential alternative dispute resolution of both this action, the Iowa Action, and other non-litigation disputes between the Parties. That session was productive, and the Parties agreed to engage in further good-faith negotiations.

5. Since the mediation session, the Parties have made additional progress towards resolution of this dispute.

6. On February 27, 2024, Starbucks and Workers United announced publicly that they had agreed to begin discussions on a foundational framework to achieve the resolution of litigation between them, including this case, along with other matters.

7. To facilitate their efforts to pursue alternative dispute resolution, the Parties jointly sought and were granted a temporary stay and several extensions of the deadline for Starbucks to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the operative complaint in this action. *See* Dkt. Nos. 31, 34, and 36. The current deadline for Starbucks to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint is June 14, 2024. The Parties sought similar relief in the Iowa Action, and that litigation is currently stayed through July 29, 2024.

8. The Parties have continued to work towards a global resolution of the litigation between them and other non-litigation disputes, but need additional time given the large number and complexity of the issues.

9. On April 24, 2024, the Parties met to resume collective bargaining. Starbucks has committed to a goal of "reaching ratified contracts for represented stores in 2024." Contract

bargaining is “intended to achieve both collective bargaining agreements for represented stores and resolve litigation, including that related to the Starbucks brand.”

10. The Parties submit that their negotiations will be aided by a stay of this action so that they may focus their time, efforts, and resources on negotiations to seek an early resolution of this matter, without concurrently engaging in motions practice in this Court, which will also promote judicial economy. Accordingly, the Parties respectfully request and stipulate to a stay of proceedings in this action through October 31, 2024.

11. This stipulation is made in good faith and is not interposed for delay or any other improper purpose.

12. The Parties agree that the filing of this joint Stipulation shall not be to the prejudice of any party, including, but not limited to, a party citing to any delay in another party’s claim, defense, motion, or argument on account of this Stipulation.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 7, 2024

/s/ Daniel M. Rosenthal

Daniel M. Rosenthal (*pro hac vice*)
Elizabeth Grdina (*pro hac vice*)
Charlotte H. Schwartz (*pro hac vice*)
James & Hoffman, P.C.
1629 K Street NW, Suite 1050
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 496-0500
(202) 496-0555 facsimile
dmrosenthal@jamhoff.com
bgrdina@jamhoff.com
chschwartz@jamhoff.com

Eric L. Young
Young Law Group
3031C Walton Road, Suite 301

/s/ Ilana H. Eisenstein

Ilana H. Eisenstein
Marie Bussey-Garza
PA ID Nos. 94907; 324584
1650 Market Street
One Liberty Place, Suite 5000
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Tel: (215) 656-3300
Fax: (215) 656-3301
ilana.eisenstein@us.dlapiper.com
marie.bussey-garza@us.dlapiper.com

Mary E. Gately (*pro hac vice*)
500 Eighth Street NW
Washington, DC 20004
Tel: (202) 799-4507

Plymouth Meeting, PA 19462
(215) 367-5151
eyoung@young-lawgroup.com

Mary Joyce Carlson (*pro hac vice*)
1629 K Street NW, Suite 1050
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 230-4096
carlsonmjj@yahoo.com

Rebecca Tushnet
Lex Lumina PLLC
745 5th Avenue, Suite 500
New York, NY 10151
703-593-6759
rtushnet@lex-lumina.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Workers United

Fax: (202) 799-5507
mary.gately@us.dlapiper.com

*Attorneys for Defendant Starbucks
Corporation*

APPROVED and so **ORDERED** this _____ day of _____ 2024.

Michael M. Baylson
United States District Court Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date, I caused the foregoing document to be filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will automatically notify all counsel of record.

Dated: June 7, 2024

/s/ *Ilana H. Eisenstein*

Ilana H. Eisenstein